

## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

---

2000, Volume 104A

**Richard D. Harcourt\*** and **P. Peter Wolyneć**: A Parametrized Valence-Bond Study of the Origin of the Long, Weak N–N Bond of *asym*-N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Page 2139. In the caption for Figure 1, replace “hybridation” with “hybridization”. Two lines from the bottom of column two, “Structures **1, 4, 5, 10**,” should read “Structures **1, 4–6, 10**”.

Page 2140. Nine lines from the bottom of column two, replace “Figure 1a is preferred to that of Figure 1b” with “Figure 1b is preferred to that of Figure 1c”.

10.1021/jp000565h

Published on Web 02/18/2000

1999, Volume 103A

**Peter D. Godfrey,\* Robert N. Jorissen, and Ronald D. Brown**: The Shapes of Molecules by Millimetre-Wave Spectroscopy: 2-Phenylethanol

Page 7624. In Table 4 the entries in the final 4 lines of column 4 referring to the values of the electric dipole moments  $\mu_a$ ,  $\mu_b$ ,  $\mu_c$ , and  $\mu_{tot}$ , for conformer **3** should read: 0.7, 0.7, 1.3, and 1.7, respectively.

Page 7624. In Table 5 the caption should read: “Principal Axis Coordinates fo the Hydroxyl H Atom for the Conformers of 2-Phenylethanol Predicted at the MP2/6-31G(d,p) Level Shown in Comparison with the Corresponding Values Obtained from the Application of Kraitchman’s Equations to the Experimental Moments of Inertia Found for the Normal and OD Isotopomers of the Observed Species”.

Page 7625. In Table 6 the information presented in lines 8–10 of the table referring to the values of the principal axis coordinates of the hydroxyl hydrogen:  $|a|$ ,  $|b|$ , and  $|c|$  should read

$ a $	166	161	170	173	168	169	168	153	154.0
$ b $	97	124	118	116	108	108	123	112	109.4
$ c $	127	111	122	125	122	123	102	103	110.8

10.1021/jp000308c

Published on Web 02/23/2000

2000, Volume 104A

**Takashi Amemiya,\* Takao Ohmori, and Tomohiko Yamaguchi\***: An Oregonator-Class Model for Photoinduced Behavior in the Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>-Catalyzed Belousov–Zhabotinsky Reaction

Page 338. The ratio of  $k_{L2}/k_{L1} = 5.54$  (M<sup>-1</sup>) in Table 2 should be  $k_{L2}/k_{L1} = 5.54$  (M<sup>-1</sup>).

10.1021/jp0004819

Published on Web 02/23/2000